



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,448	03/12/2004	Shinji Fukui	OMRNP080	6235
22434 7590 08/19/2009				
Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP				
P.O. BOX 70250				
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250				
EXAMINER				
LEE, MARINA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2192				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/19/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/799,448

Applicant(s)

FUKUI, SHINJI

Examiner

MARINA LEE

Art Unit

2192

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3 and 5-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, and 5-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/CDC)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 13, 2009 has been entered.

2. This action is responsive to Amendment filed on July 13, 2009. Claims 1, 5, and 6 have been amended. Claims 2 and 4 have been cancelled. No claims have been cancelled. Thus, claims 1, 3, and 5-8 are presented for examination.

Prior Art's Arguments - Rejections

3. Applicant's arguments filed on July 13, 2009, especially on page 9 of Remarks, have been fully considered as set forth below,

As per independent claim 1, 5, and 6, Applicant allege that
"Independent claims 1, 5 and 6 are herein amended to make it easier to understand more correctly that the present invention comprises a distinguishable characteristic of displaying a structure and its circuit simultaneously and, if a function block in this circuit is selected thereafter, displaying this selected function block with emphasis. It is believed that neither of the cited references discloses or even hints at such a characteristic feature of the present invention and hence the Examiner will find these presently amended independent claims to be patentable even if these cited references are considered in combination" – See page 9, ¶2, which examiner respectfully disagrees.

It is to note that Eldridge discloses Ladder Editor View (Figure 95), which contains element (s) hierarchy (tree) on the left windows panel and graphical element reflecting the selected (tree) element (s) on the right hand side of windows panel. –See Eldridge at least Fig. 95, col. 104: 1-65 and col. 105: 1-35, with emphasis added). Furthermore, the current selected of the ladder element in Fig. 95 of Eldridge allow to use either key strokes such as arrows keys element ladder is *highlight (emphasis)*– See at least col. 104: 21-59 with emphasis added). It is noted that, however, Eldridge does not explicitly disclose– (e.g., graphical element(s) being displayed on the right of the windows in Fig. 95) with highlight (emphasis)); but, Kodosky, in analogous art teach 'In one embodiment, the may also select an option to cause the source code of the program to be displayed. For example, if the program is a graphical program, then user selection of this option may cause the block diagram of the graphical program to be displayed. If the program is a text based program, such as a C-language program, then user selection of this option may cause the textual source code of the program to be displayed. The user may also select various debugging features to executing on the program. Various other operations are possible. For example, FIG. 20A illustrates a menu which includes options such as "Highlight Connections", "Hide All Sub-VIs", "Show VI Hierarchy", "Show All Sub-Vis", "Show All Callers", "Find All Instances", "Edit Icon", "VI Properties", "Open Front Panel" (see step 368), "Print Documentation" ' – See Kodosky, [0284-0285], [0013-0016], and Fig.20A with emphasis added.

Art Unit: 2192

Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use the graphical debugging feature (e.g., highlight connections etc Fig. 20 A) of Kodosky in the graphical element (s) on the right hand side window panel of Ladder Editor View (Figure 95) of Eldridge for enabling a user to more easily specify or creating a distributes the selected ladder elements in a graphical representation of the ladder logic (right and side) as taught in Kodosky (e.g., [0002]).

As of the supra discussion, Eldridge in view of Kodosky does teach amend claims 1, 5, and 6 limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 3, and 5-8 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eldridge et al. (US 7,272,815 B1 of record – hereinafter Eldridge) in view of Kodosky et al. (US 2003/0034998 A1 of record – hereinafter Kodosky).

As per claims 1, 5, and 6, Eldridge discloses a method of displaying a program including function block for a display and edit device said function blocks – (e.g., *Ladder Logic Diagram D (Fig. 94)*, which contains *Program Logic Blocks (PLBs)* – See at least col. 104: 1-10) serving to use a language element referred

Art Unit: 2192

to as function block definitions to establish input and output parameters, internal variables and operation algorithms of the function block and to create copies referred to as function block instances by instantiating said function block definitions when said function block is incorporated in a user program -- (e.g., *Ladder Diagram Editor (Fig. 95), which conjunction with the Ladder library (ladder element (functions block definitions: emphasis added)) for implementing the ladder diagram logic* -- See at least col. 104: 1-65 and col. 105: 1-35 with *emphasis added*), said method comprising the steps of:

accessing said program stored in a program memory and analyzing structure relationship of function block definitions contained in said program (e.g., *ladder library, which contains common ladder element for carrying the ladder diagram logic* -- See at least col. 104: 11-59, col. 106: 13-24, and Fig. 95, with *emphasis added*);

accessing said program stored in said memory and analyzing structure relationship of function block instances contained in said program (e.g., *the ladder elements (object) are selected (instantiated) from the ladder library* -- See at least col. 104, 11-26, Fig. 95 and associated text, with *emphasis added*); and

displaying simultaneously the analyzed structure relationship of function block definition and the analyzed structure relationship of function block instance -- (e.g., *the displaying of the elements such as the type hierarchical (tree) of library element is on the left hand side and the graphical element(s) is on the right hand side for carrying out the ladder logic diagram in the Ladder Diagram*

Art Unit: 2192

Editor— See at least Fig. 95, col. 104: 1-65 and col. 105: 1-35, with emphasis added).

causing an instance display device to display a selected function block definition or a selected function block instance together with said structure relationship of the analyzed structure relationship of said function block definition and said structure relationship of the analyzed structure relationship of said function block instance – (e.g., *the current selected using either key strokes such as arrows keys element ladder is highlight (emphasis)— See at least col. 104: 21-59 with emphasis added*); and

causing a display judging device to cause said structure display device to display with an emphasis the structure relationship of said selected function block definition or selected function block instance or a corresponding portion of the structure relationship of the function block instance – (e.g., *the current selected using either key strokes such as arrows keys element ladder is highlight (emphasis)— See at least col. 104: 21-59 with emphasis added*);

wherein when a function block included in said selected function block definition displayed by said instance display device is selected, said display judging device causes said structure display device to display with an emphasis said function block in the structure relationship of said selected block definition. – (e.g., FIG. 69 shows the main display for the Block Definition Editor. The user is presented with the Project Manager Tree branch representing the hierarchy of block definitions. All block definitions derived from the base types show as lower

Art Unit: 2192

branches in the tree – See at least col. 85: 1-13 and Fig. 69 with emphasis added)

It is to note that Eldridge discloses Ladder Editor View (Figure 95), which contains element (s) hierarchy (tree) on the left windows panel and graphical element reflecting the selected (tree) element (s) on the right hand side of windows panel. –See Eldridge at least Fig. 95, col. 104: 1-65 and col. 105: 1-35, with emphasis added). It is noted that, however, Eldridge does not explicitly disclose wherein, when a function block included in said selected function block instance displayed by said instance display device is selected, said display judging device causes said structure display device with an emphasis said function block in the structure relationship of said selected function block instance;– (e.g., graphical element(s) being displayed on the right of the windows in Fig. 95) with highlight (emphasis)); but, Kodosky, in analogous art teach 'In one embodiment, the may also select an option to cause the source code of the program to be displayed. For example, if the program is a graphical program, then user selection of this option may cause the block diagram of the graphical program to be displayed. If the program is a text based program, such as a C-language program, then user selection of this option may cause the textual source code of the program to be displayed. The user may also select various debugging features to executing on the program. Various other operations are possible. For example, FIG. 20A illustrates a menu which includes options such as "Highlight Connections", "Hide All Sub-VIs", "Show VI Hierarchy", "Show All Sub-Vis", "Show All Callers", "Find All Instances", "Edit Icon", "VI Properties",

Art Unit: 2192

"Open Front Panel" (see step 368), "Print Documentation" – *See Kodosky, [0284-0285], [0013-0016], and Fig.20A with emphasis added.*

Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use the graphical debugging feature (e.g., highlight connections etc Fig. 20 A) of Kodosky in the graphical element (s) on the right hand side window panel of Ladder Editor View (Figure 95) of Eldridge for enabling a user to more easily specify or creating a distributes the selected ladder elements in a graphical representation of the ladder logic (right and side) as taught in Kodosky (e.g., [0002]).

Further regarding to claim 1, Eldridge disclose a device (e.g., *work station 11 (fig. 1) – see Eldridge at least col. 18: 17-42*) for implementing method as of claim 5 above.

Further regarding to claim 6, Eldridge discloses a computer-readable medium (e.g., *diskette – See at least col. 18: 46-48*) for implementing method as of claim 5 above.

As to claim 3, Eldridge discloses further comprising a display selector that selectively determines, when a command to switch display is received, whether a function block definition or a function block instance should be displayed, based on current display and current conditions of processing by said display and edit device and causes the determined to be made (e.g., *using either key strokes such as arrows keys (command)to select the ladder element to be display on the view screen – see at least col. 104: 21-59 with emphasis added*).

As to claim 7, Eldridge discloses wherein said block definition analyzer is for accessing said program stored in said program memory, analyzing algorithm of function block definition which is detected in said program, carrying out a process of judging presence or absence of any function block definition that is being called in said algorithm and, if a called function block definition is found to be present, connecting said called function block definition found to be present below an original function block definition, repeating said process until a function block definition not being called is reached to thereby analyze a connection relationship among function block definitions, and analyzing structure relationship of function block definitions contained in said program – (*e.g., simple loop – See Fig. 71 and associated text*) ; and

wherein said block instance analyzer is for accessing said program stored in said program memory, analyzing algorithm of function block instance which is detected in said program, carrying out a process of judging presence or absence of any function block instance that is being called in said algorithm and, if a called function block instance is found to be present, connecting said called function block instance found to be present below an original function block instance, repeating said process until a function block instance not being called is reached to thereby analyze a connection relationship among function block instances, and analyzing structure relationship of function block instances contained in said program (*e.g. composite block – See at least Fig. 71 and associated text*) : and

a structure display device for causing to simultaneously display structure relationship of the analyzed structure relationship of said function block definition

Art Unit: 2192

and structure relationship of the analyzed structure relationship of said function block instance (*e.g., the displaying of ladder elements for carrying out the ladder logic diagram in the Ladder Diagram Editor – See at least Fig. 95, col. 104: 1-65 and col. 105: 1-35, with emphasis added*)...

As to claim 8, Eldridge discloses further comprising the step of accessing said program stored in a program memory, analyzing algorithm of function block definition which is detected in said program, carrying out a process of judging presence or absence of any function block definition that is being called in said algorithm and, if a called function block definition is found to be present, connecting said called function block definition found to be present below an original function block definition, repeating said process until a function block definition not being called is reached to thereby analyze a connection relationship among function block definitions, and analyzing structure relationship of function block definitions contained in said program (*e.g., the modified ladder elements are put back into the ladder library for latter use as a template -- See at least col. 105: 36- 67 and col. 106: 1-26 with emphasis added*); and

accessing said program, analyzing algorithm of function block instance which is detected in said program, carrying out a process of judging presence or absence of any function block instance that is being called in said algorithm and, if a called function block instance is found to be present, connecting said called function block instance found to be present below an original function block instance, repeating said process until a function block instance not being called is reached to thereby analyze a connection relationship among function block

Art Unit: 2192

instances, and analyzing structure relationship of function block instances contained in said program (e.g., *the element ladder from the ladder library are being modified and connect to each other -- See at least col. 105: 36- 67 and col. 106: 1-26 with emphasis added.*

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon (cited on 892 form) is considered pertinent to application disclosure.
7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Lee whose telephone number is (571) 270-1648. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (11am-7: 30pm) EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Art Unit: 2192

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. L./

Marina Lee

Examiner, Art Unit 2192

/Tuan Q. Dam/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192